IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43664

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 491
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: April 18, 2016
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
JACK LARRY WOODBRIDGE,)) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Lansing L. Haynes, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and sentence, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jack Larry Woodbridge pleaded guilty to burglary, felony, Idaho Code § 18-1401. The district court imposed a unified seven-year sentence, with three years determinate, and retained jurisdiction. Following a successful period of retained jurisdiction, the district court suspended Woodbridge's sentence and placed him on probation. Woodbridge appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Woodbridge's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.