IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43355

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 779
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: December 31, 2015
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
CYNTHIA ELAINE WEAVER (aka BERAUN), Defendant-Appellant.)) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. George D. Carey, District Judge.

Order denying Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenevieve C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori Anne Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Cynthia Elaine Weaver pleaded guilty to forgery, felony, Idaho Code § 18-3601. The district court imposed a unified seven-year sentence, with two years determinate, and retained jurisdiction. Following Weaver's period of retained jurisdiction, the district court relinquished jurisdiction. Weaver filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion, which the district court denied. Weaver appealed arguing the district court abused its discretion by denying her I.C.R. 35 motion.

A motion for reduction of sentence under I.C.R. 35 is essentially a plea for leniency, addressed to the sound discretion of the court. *State v. Knighton*, 143 Idaho 318, 319, 144 P.3d 23, 24 (2006); *State v. Allbee*, 115 Idaho 845, 846, 771 P.2d 66, 67 (Ct. App. 1989). In presenting an I.C.R. 35 motion, the defendant must show that the sentence is excessive in light of

new or additional information subsequently provided to the district court in support of the motion. *State v. Huffman*, 144 Idaho 201, 203, 159 P.3d 838, 840 (2007). Upon review of the record, including any new information submitted with Weaver's I.C.R. 35 motion, we conclude no abuse of discretion has been shown. Therefore, the district court's order denying Weaver's I.C.R. 35 motion is affirmed.