IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42319

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 433
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: March 25, 2015
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
LELAND JAY PONZO,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Robert C. Naftz, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for felony driving under the influence, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Maya P. Waldron, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Leland Jay Ponzo pled guilty to felony driving under the influence. I.C. §§ 18-8004, 18-8005(9). The district court sentenced Ponzo to a unified term of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years. Ponzo filed an I.C.R 35 motion, which the district court denied. Ponzo appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Ponzo's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.