IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42046

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 377
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: March 2, 2015
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
JEREMY JAY LAFLEUR,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Order revoking probation and executin Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for a	g previously suspended sentence, <u>affirmed</u> . blic Defender; Kimberly E. Smith, Deputy appellant. ey General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy
Before MELANSON, Chie	ef Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;

PER CURIAM

Jeremy Jay Lafleur pled guilty to domestic violence in the presence of a child. I.C. §§ 18-903(a), 18-918(2), and 18-918(4). The district court sentenced Lafleur to a unified term of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, but suspended the sentence and placed Lafleur on probation. Subsequently, Lafleur admitted to violating the terms of the probation, and the district court consequently revoked probation and ordered execution of the original sentence. Lafleur filed an I.C.R. 35 motion for reduction of his sentence, which the

and GRATTON, Judge

district court denied. Lafleur appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion in revoking probation.¹

It is within the trial court's discretion to revoke probation if any of the terms and conditions of the probation have been violated. I.C. §§ 19-2603, 20-222; State v. Beckett, 122 Idaho 324, 325, 834 P.2d 326, 327 (Ct. App. 1992); State v. Adams, 115 Idaho 1053, 1054, 772 P.2d 260, 261 (Ct. App. 1989); State v. Hass, 114 Idaho 554, 558, 758 P.2d 713, 717 (Ct. App. 1988). In determining whether to revoke probation a court must examine whether the probation is achieving the goal of rehabilitation and consistent with the protection of society. State v. Upton, 127 Idaho 274, 275, 899 P.2d 984, 985 (Ct. App. 1995); Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; Hass, 114 Idaho at 558, 758 P.2d at 717. The court may, after a probation violation has been established, order that the suspended sentence be executed or, in the alternative, the court is authorized under Idaho Criminal Rule 35 to reduce the sentence. Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; State v. Marks, 116 Idaho 976, 977, 783 P.2d 315, 316 (Ct. App. 1989). The court may also order a period of retained jurisdiction. State v. Urrabazo, 150 Idaho 158, 162, 244 P.3d 1244, 1248 (2010). A decision to revoke probation will be disturbed on appeal only upon a showing that the trial court abused its discretion. Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327. In reviewing the propriety of a probation revocation, the focus of the inquiry is the conduct underlying the trial court's decision to revoke probation. State v. Morgan, 153 Idaho 618, 621, 288 P.3d 835, 838 (Ct. App. 2012). Thus, this Court will consider the elements of the record before the trial court relevant to the revocation of probation issues which are properly made part of the record on appeal. *Id*.

Applying the foregoing standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion in revoking probation. Therefore, the order revoking probation and directing execution of Lafleur's previously suspended sentence is affirmed.

-

Lafleur also pled guilty and was sentenced for misdemeanor injury to a child. However, that conviction and sentence are not subject to this appeal.