IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 41468

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 633
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: July 22, 2014
v.	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
JEREMY STEVEN MEREDITH,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Appeal from the District Court of the County. Hon. Melissa Moody, District	e Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada ict Judge.
Order of the district court relinquishi	ng jurisdiction, <u>affirmed</u> .

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Kimberly E. Smith, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Mark W. Olson, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;

and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jeremy Steven Meredith pled guilty to driving under the influence. Idaho Code § 18-8004, 18-8005(6). The district court sentenced Meredith to a unified term of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, but retained jurisdiction. Following the period of retained jurisdiction, the district court held a rider review hearing at which the Idaho Department of Correction recommended that the district court relinquish jurisdiction. The district court then relinquished jurisdiction and executed Meredith's original sentence. Meredith appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by relinquishing jurisdiction.

We note that the decision to place a defendant on probation or whether, instead, to relinquish jurisdiction over the defendant is a matter within the sound discretion of the district court and will not be overturned on appeal absent an abuse of that discretion. *State v. Hood*, 102

Idaho 711, 712, 639 P.2d 9, 10 (1981); *State v. Lee*, 117 Idaho 203, 205-06, 786 P.2d 594, 596-97 (Ct. App. 1990). The record in this case shows that the district court properly considered the information before it and determined that probation was not appropriate. We hold that Meredith has failed to show that the district court abused its discretion in relinquishing jurisdiction.

The order of the district court relinquishing jurisdiction and executing his original sentence is affirmed.