

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 38711

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2012 Unpublished Opinion No. 337
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: January 26, 2012
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
JEREMY JASON HOBBS,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Timothy Hansen, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of thirty years, with a minimum period of confinement of fifteen years, for voluntary manslaughter, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;
and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jeremy Jason Hobbs pled guilty to voluntary manslaughter, Idaho Code § 18-4006(1) and use of a firearm during the commission of a crime, Idaho Code § 19-2520. The district court sentenced Hobbs to a unified term of thirty years, with a minimum period of confinement of fifteen years. Hobbs appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Hobbs's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.