

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 37325

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 352
	)	
<b>Plaintiff-Respondent,</b>	)	<b>Filed: February 10, 2011</b>
	)	
v.	)	<b>Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk</b>
	)	
BEAU E. HANSEN,	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
<b>Defendant-Appellant.</b>	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. David C. Nye, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for aggravated battery, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;  
and GUTIERREZ, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Beau E. Hansen pled guilty to aggravated battery. Idaho Code §§ 18-903, 18-907(1)(a). The district court sentenced Hansen to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. Hansen appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Hansen's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.