

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 38204

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 613
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: September 9, 2011
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
CHRISTOPHER EDWARD BLAIR,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Cassia County. Hon. Michael R. Crabtree, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years, for vehicular manslaughter, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Diane M. Walker, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Christopher Edward Blair pled guilty to vehicular manslaughter. Idaho Code §§ 18-4006(3)(a), 18-4006(3)(b).¹ The district court sentenced Blair to a unified term of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years. Blair appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

¹ Blair also pled guilty to leaving the scene of an injury accident, I.C. § 18-4007, and misdemeanor driving under the influence, I.C. § 18-4004, and was sentenced to a determinate term of five years and 180 days, respectively. Blair does not appeal his sentences on these two counts.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Blair's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.