

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 38810

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2012 Unpublished Opinion No. 323
	)	
<b>Plaintiff-Respondent,</b>	)	<b>Filed: January 18, 2012</b>
	)	
v.	)	<b>Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk</b>
	)	
CORY W. WICKEL,	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
<b>Defendant-Appellant.</b>	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Cassia County. Hon. Michael R. Crabtree, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of thirty years, with a minimum period of confinement of ten years, for lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before LANSING, Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;  
and MELANSON, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Cory W. Wickel pled guilty to lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen. I.C. § 18-1508. In exchange for his guilty plea, the state agreed not to pursue additional charges. The district court sentenced Wickel to a unified term of thirty years, with a minimum period of confinement of ten years. Wickel filed an I.C.R. 35 motion for reduction of his sentence, which the district court denied. Wickel appeals, challenging the excessiveness of his sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Wickel's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.