

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 37899

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 433
	)	
<b>Plaintiff-Respondent,</b>	)	<b>Filed: April 8, 2011</b>
	)	
v.	)	<b>Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk</b>
	)	
<b>CHARLES LEE TIGNER,</b>	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
<b>Defendant-Appellant.</b>	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Darla S. Williamson, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of four years, for grand theft, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;  
and MELANSON, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Charles Lee Tigner pled guilty to grand theft. I.C. §§ 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1), 18-2409. In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court sentenced Tigner to a unified term of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of four years. Tigner appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Tigner's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.