

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 38077

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 458
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: May 6, 2011
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
KENDALL JOSEPH POE,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Thomas F. Neville, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for grand theft by possession of stolen property, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge, LANSING, Judge
and GUTIERREZ, Judge

PER CURIAM

Kendall Joseph Poe was convicted of grand theft by possession of stolen property, Idaho Code § 18-2403(4). The district court imposed a unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year. Poe appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Poe's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.