

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 37892

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 486
	)	
<b>Plaintiff-Respondent,</b>	)	<b>Filed: May 19, 2011</b>
	)	
v.	)	<b>Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk</b>
	)	
CLIFFORD N. NIELSEN,	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
<b>Defendant-Appellant.</b>	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Stephen W. Drescher, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of seven and one-half years, for lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Jordan E. Taylor, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;  
and GUTIERREZ, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Clifford N. Nielsen pled guilty to lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen. I.C. § 18-1508. In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court sentenced Nielsen to a unified term of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of seven and one-half years. Nielsen appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Nielsen's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.