

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 38279

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 495
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: May 26, 2011
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
JAMES CLINTON HOFFMAN, JR.,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Cheri C. Copsey, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified life sentence, with a minimum period of confinement of thirty years, for first degree kidnapping with the intent to commit rape, the infamous crime against nature, or a lewd and lascivious act, affirmed.

Greg S. Silvey, Kuna, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;
and GUTIERREZ, Judge

PER CURIAM

James Clinton Hoffman, Jr. pled guilty to an amended charge of first degree kidnapping with the intent to commit rape, the infamous crime against nature, or a lewd and lascivious act. I.C. § 18-4502. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges including an allegation that Hoffman was a persistent violator were dismissed. The district court sentenced Hoffman to unified term of life imprisonment, with a minimum period of confinement of thirty years. Hoffman appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Hoffman's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.