

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 38141

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 557
	)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	Filed: July 21, 2011
	)	
v.	)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
	)	
SAMUEL VEGA CONTRERAS,	)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
	)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Renae J. Hoff, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of nine years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for forgery, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Shawn F. Wilkerson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before LANSING, Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;  
and MELANSON, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Samuel Vega Contreras was convicted of forgery, Idaho Code § 18-3601. The district court sentenced Contreras to a unified term of nine years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. Contreras appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Contreras' judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.