

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 38268

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 643
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: September 30, 2011
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
JUSTIN ROY BOOTH,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Fred M. Gibler, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent unified sentences of twelve years, with three years determinate, for robbery; seven years, with three years determinate, for each of two counts of grand theft; seven years, with three years determinate, for burglary; and three years determinate for felony eluding a police officer, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;
and GUTIERREZ, Judge

PER CURIAM

Justin Roy Booth was convicted of robbery, I.C. § 18-6501; two counts of grand theft, I.C. § 18-2403(1); burglary, I.C. § 18-1401; and felony eluding a police officer, I.C. § 49-1404(2). The district court sentenced Booth to concurrent unified sentences of twelve years, with three years determinate, for robbery; seven years, with three years determinate, for each of two counts of grand theft; seven years, with three years determinate, for burglary; and three years determinate for felony eluding. Booth appeals, contending that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Booth's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.