

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 38531

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2011 Unpublished Opinion No. 664
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: October 18, 2011
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
MATTHEW ALAN ALSIP aka DECKER,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Cheri C. Copsey, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for grand theft, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Jordan E. Taylor, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Matthew Alan Alsip aka Decker was convicted of grand theft, Idaho Code §§ 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1)(b). The district court sentenced Alsip to a unified term of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. Alsip appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Alsip's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.