IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47068

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: January 22, 2020
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
V.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
TYSON COLE GIFFORD,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Minidoka County. Hon. Jonathan Brody, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years with a three and one-half-year determinate term for grand theft, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jeffery D. Nye, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Tyson Cole Gifford pled guilty to grand theft, Idaho Code §§ 18-2403, 18-2407(1)(b)(1). The district court imposed a unified sentence of ten years with a three and one-half-year determinate term. Gifford appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Gifford's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.