## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 47063**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
	) Filed: January 17, 2020
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)
	) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
SALVADOR SALAZAR ROBLES,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Cassia County. Hon. Michael P. Tribe, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for felony driving under the influence, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and LORELLO, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Salvador Salazar Robles pled guilty to felony driving under the influence. I.C. § 18-8004 and 18-8006(6). In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court sentenced Robles to a unified term of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. The district court retained jurisdiction and sent Robles to participate in the rider program. Robles appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Robles's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.