IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46845

STATE OF IDAHO,)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: October 16, 2019
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
KENDALL RULAN WELLARD,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Steven Hippler, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent, unified sentences of five years with two years determinate for forgery and grand theft, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Kendall Rulan Wellard was found guilty of forgery and grand theft. Idaho Code §§ 18-3601, 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1)(b), 18-2409. The district court imposed concurrent, unified sentences of five years with two years determinate. Wellard appeals, contending that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Wellard's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.