IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46795

STATE OF IDAHO,	
) F i	iled: August 2, 2019
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	_
) K	arel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)	
) T	HIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
FELIPE N. LOPEZ GUADARRAMA, O	PINION AND SHALL NOT
aka MANUEL NUNES CHAVEZ, aka) B	E CITED AS AUTHORITY
MANUEL CHAVEZ, aka MANUEL)	
CHAVEZ NUNEZ, aka FELIPE LOPEZ-)	
GUARDARRAMA NERI,)	
)	
Defendant-Appellant.)	
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Gene A. Petty, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of twelve years, for aggravated battery, <u>affirmed</u>.

Barrera Law Office; Benson Barrera, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Felipe N. Lopez Guadarrama pleaded guilty to aggravated battery, Idaho Code § 18-907. The district court imposed a unified fifteen-year sentence, with twelve years determinate. Guadarrama appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Guadarrama's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.