IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46787

STATE OF IDAHO,)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: August 15, 2019
•) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
CHRISTOPHER DEAN MITCHELL,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Peter Barton, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of eight years with a two-year determinate term for robbery, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Christopher Dean Mitchell was found guilty of robbery, Idaho Code §§ 18-6501, 18-6502. The district court imposed a unified sentence of eight years with two years determinate. Mitchell appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Mitchell's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.