## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## Docket No. 46738

STATE OF IDAHO,  Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: December 23, 2019 ) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.  MATTHEW FRANK ARNETT,  Defendant-Appellant.	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY )
Appeal from the District Court of the Bonner County. Hon. Barbara A. Bucha Order relinquishing jurisdiction, affirmed	
Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate F Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for ap	Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputyopellant.
Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.	General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy
Before GRATTON, Chie	of Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;

PER CURIAM

Matthew Frank Arnett pled guilty to failure to register as a sex offender. Idaho Code § 18-8311. Following his plea, Arnett was sentenced to a unified term of four years with two years determinate and the court retained jurisdiction. Following the period of retained jurisdiction, the district court relinquished jurisdiction. On appeal, Arnett argues that the district court abused its discretion by relinquishing jurisdiction.

and LORELLO, Judge

We note that the decision to place a defendant on probation or whether, instead, to relinquish jurisdiction over the defendant is a matter within the sound discretion of the district court and will not be overturned on appeal absent an abuse of that discretion. *State v. Hood*, 102 Idaho 711, 712, 639 P.2d 9, 10 (1981); *State v. Lee*, 117 Idaho 203, 205-06, 786 P.2d 594, 596-

97 (Ct. App. 1990). The record in this case shows that the district court properly considered the information before it and determined that probation was not appropriate. We hold that Arnett has failed to show that the district court abused its discretion in relinquishing jurisdiction.

The order of the district court relinquishing jurisdiction is affirmed.