IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46714

| STATE OF IDAHO, |) |
|--------------------------|---|
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) Filed: October 22, 2019) Karal A. Lahrman, Clark |
| V. |) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk |
| v. |) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED |
| CHRISTIAN BURR MILLBACH, |) OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| |) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) |
| |) |

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Scott Wayman, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for sexual battery of a minor child sixteen to seventeen years of age, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Christian Burr Millbach entered a guilty plea to sexual battery of a a minor child sixteen to seventeen years of age, Idaho Code § 18-1508A(1)(a). The district court imposed a unified fifteen-year sentence, with five years determinate. Millbach appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Millbach's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.