IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46448

STATE OF IDAHO,)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: October 16, 2019
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
STACEY GERARD COOPER II,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Twin Falls County. Hon. John K. Butler, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for possession of marijuana with intent to deliver, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Ben P. McGreevy, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Stacey Gerard Cooper II was found guilty of possession of marijuana with intent to deliver. Idaho Code § 37-2732(a)(1)(B). The district court sentenced Cooper to a unified term of five years with one year determinate to run consecutively with a sentence in an unrelated case. Cooper appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Cooper's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.