IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46444

| STATE OF IDAHO, |) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| |) Filed: April 30, 2019 |
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) |
| |) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk |
| v. |) |
| |) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED |
| SAMUEL JOSIAH SMITH, |) OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| |) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) |
| |) |

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Twin Falls County. Hon. Benjamin Cluff, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of eight years with a three-year determinate term for aggravated driving under the influence of alcohol, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Samuel Josiah Smith pled guilty to aggravated driving under the influence of alcohol, Idaho Code § 18-8006. The district court imposed a unified sentence of eight years with three years determinate. Smith filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion for reduction of sentence, which the district court denied. Smith appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Smith's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.