IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46344

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: June 6, 2019
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
LARRY MICHAEL CLIFFORD, JR.,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.	
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Steven J. Hippler, District Judge.

Order denying I.C.R. 35 motion for reduction of sentence, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Larry Michael Clifford, Jr., pled guilty to fraud by computer. Idaho Code § 18-2202(1). The district court sentenced Clifford to a unified term of five years with two years determinate. Clifford filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion for reduction of sentence, which the district court denied. Clifford appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by denying the Rule 35 motion.

A motion for reduction of sentence under I.C.R. 35 is essentially a plea for leniency, addressed to the sound discretion of the court. *State v. Knighton*, 143 Idaho 318, 319, 144 P.3d 23, 24 (2006); *State v. Allbee*, 115 Idaho 845, 846, 771 P.2d 66, 67 (Ct. App. 1989). In presenting a Rule 35 motion, the defendant must show that the sentence is excessive in light of

new or additional information subsequently provided to the district court in support of the motion. *State v. Huffman*, 144 Idaho 201, 203, 159 P.3d 838, 840 (2007). Upon review of the record, including any new information submitted with Clifford's Rule 35 motion, we conclude no abuse of discretion has been shown. Therefore, the district court's order denying Clifford's Rule 35 motion is affirmed.