## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 46261**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: May 13, 2019 )
	) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
<b>v.</b>	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
JORGE LUIS SANCHEZ,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.	)
rr ·	, )

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Christopher S. Nye, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and LORELLO, Judge

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM

Jorge Luis Sanchez pled guilty to operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol. Idaho Code §§ 18-8004, 18-8005. The district court sentenced Sanchez to a unified term of eight years with five years determinate. Sanchez appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Sanchez's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.