IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46113

| STATE OF IDAHO, |) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) Filed: May 9, 2019 |
| |) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk |
| V. |) |
| |) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED |
| CALEB ADAM HENDERSON, |) OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| |) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) |
| |) |

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Stephen S. Dunn, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended, unified sentence of nine years with a three-year determinate term for attempted strangulation, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Caleb Adam Henderson pled guilty to attempted strangulation, Idaho Code § 18-923(1). The district court imposed a unified nine-year sentence with a three-year determinate term and retained jurisdiction. Following the period of retained jurisdiction, the district court suspended the sentence and placed Henderson on probation. Henderson appeals, contending that his underlying sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Henderson's judgment of conviction and suspended, underlying sentence are affirmed.