## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 45960**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: November 14, 2018
	) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
NATHAN VANG KOU THAO,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Rick Carnaroli, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of four years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for trafficking in marijuana, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Liz A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Nathan Vang Kou Thao pleaded guilty to trafficking in marijuana, Idaho Code § 37-2732B(a)(1)(A). The district court imposed a unified sentence of four years, with two years determinate. Thao appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Thao's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.