IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 45853

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: October 19, 2018
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
V.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
HOLLEN DAVID FAUGHT,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Michael J. Reardon, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for felony driving under the influence and being a persistent violator, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Hollen David Faught pled guilty to felony driving under the influence. I.C. §§ 18-8004 and 18-8005(9). In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. Faught also admitted to being a persistent violator. I.C. § 19-2514. The district court sentenced Faught to a unified term of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years. Faught filed an I.C.R. 35 motion for reduction of his sentence, which the district court denied. Faught appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Faught's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.