## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 45730**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: July 26, 2018
	) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
<b>v.</b>	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
CHRISTOPHER E. ABBOTT,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Jonathan Medema, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of seven years, for aggravated driving under the influence of alcohol, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Ben P. McGreevy, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Christopher E. Abbott pled guilty to aggravated driving under the influence of alcohol, Idaho Code § 18-8006. In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court imposed a unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of seven years. Abbott filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion for reduction of his sentence, which the district court denied. Abbott appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Abbott's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.