IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 45671

STATE OF IDAHO,)) Filed: July 6, 2018
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v. RONALD VAUGHN HERRERA, Defendant-Appellant.) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	he First Judicial District, State of Idaho ell, District Judge.
Order denying I.C.R. 35 motion for red	
Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellat Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Bo	te Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred ise, for appellant.
Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney Attorney General, Boise, for responder	y General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy nt.
Before GUTIERREZ	Indge: HUSKEY Indge:

PER CURIAM

Ronald Vaughn Herrera pled guilty to sexual battery of a minor. I.C. § 18-1508A(1)(c). In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court sentenced Herrera to a unified term of twenty-five years, with a minimum period of confinement of ten years. Herrera filed an I.C.R. 35 motion, which the district court denied. Herrera appeals.

and LORELLO, Judge

A motion for reduction of sentence under I.C.R. 35 is essentially a plea for leniency, addressed to the sound discretion of the court. *State v. Knighton*, 143 Idaho 318, 319, 144 P.3d 23, 24 (2006); *State v. Allbee*, 115 Idaho 845, 846, 771 P.2d 66, 67 (Ct. App. 1989). In presenting a Rule 35 motion, the defendant must show that the sentence is excessive in light of

new or additional information subsequently provided to the district court in support of the motion. *State v. Huffman*, 144 Idaho 201, 203, 159 P.3d 838, 840 (2007). Upon review of the record, including any new information submitted with Herrera's Rule 35 motion, we conclude no abuse of discretion has been shown. Therefore, the district court's order denying Herrera's Rule 35 motion is affirmed.