IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 45667

STATE OF IDAHO,)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: August 2, 2018
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
SHAY LYNN WILLIFORD aka BLASS,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
,) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Samuel Hoagland, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of four years, for felony possession of a controlled substance, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Shay Lynn Williford pleaded guilty to trafficking in methamphetamine, felony, Idaho Code § 37-2732B(1)(4). The district court imposed a unified fifteen-year sentence, with four years determinate. Williford appeals, contending that her sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Williford's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.