IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 44980

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 623
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: October 24, 2017
v.) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
BLAISE TROMBETTI,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
Defendant-Appellant.) OPINION AND SHALL NOT) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Davis F. Vandervelde, District Judge.

Order relinquishing jurisdiction and executing underlying sentence, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kimberly A. Coster, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Blaise Trombetti pleaded guilty to felony domestic battery with traumatic injury, Idaho Code §§ 18-903(a), 18-918(2). The district court imposed a unified ten-year sentence, with four year determinate. The district court retained jurisdiction, and Trombetti was sent to participate in the rider program. After Trombetti participated in the rider program for a couple of months and based upon a letter from the Idaho Department of Correction and an amended presentence investigation report, the district court relinquished jurisdiction. Trombetti filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion, which the district court denied. Trombetti appeals, claiming that the district court erred by refusing to grant probation. He also argues his sentence is excessive and constitutes an abuse of discretion.

We note that the decision to place a defendant on probation or whether, instead, to relinquish jurisdiction over the defendant is a matter within the sound discretion of the district court and will not be overturned on appeal absent an abuse of that discretion. *State v. Hood*, 102 Idaho 711, 712, 639 P.2d 9, 10 (1981); *State v. Lee*, 117 Idaho 203, 205-06, 786 P.2d 594, 596-97 (Ct. App. 1990). The record in this case shows that the district court properly considered the information before it and determined that probation was not appropriate. We hold that Trombetti has failed to show that the district court abused its discretion in relinquishing jurisdiction.

Trombetti also contends that his sentence is excessive and constitutes an abuse of discretion. Sentences are reviewed for an abuse of discretion. Our appellate standard of review and the factors to be considered when evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well-established. *State v. Burdett*, 134 Idaho 271, 1 P.3d 299 (Ct. App. 2000); *State v. Sanchez*, 115 Idaho 776, 769 P.2d 1148 (Ct. App. 1989); *State v. Reinke*, 103 Idaho 771, 653 P.2d 1183 (Ct. App. 1982); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 650 P.2d 707 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Trombetti argues that all of the relevant goals of sentencing could have been accomplished with probation. As noted above, however, the district court found that probation was not an appropriate course of action in Trombetti's case. The record does not indicate that the district court abused its discretion in sentencing.

The order of the district court relinquishing jurisdiction and Trombetti's sentence are affirmed.