IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 44861

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2018 Unpublished Opinion No. 328
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: January 18, 2018
v.) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
BRIAN GREGORY FIORI,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Scott L. Wayman, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twelve years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for felony driving under the influence (third or subsequent offense), <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Brian Gregory Fiori pled guilty to felony driving under the influence (third or subsequent offense), Idaho Code § 18-8004. The district court imposed a unified sentence of twelve years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, to run concurrently with Fiori's sentences in separate cases. Fiori appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Fiori's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.