## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 44691**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 499
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) ) Filed: June 22, 2017
<b>v.</b>	) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
KEVIN G. SLONIKER,	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Cynthia K.C. Meyer, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent sentences of thirty-five years determinate followed by an indeterminate life sentence, for seven counts of lewd conduct with a minor under that age of sixteen, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; MELANSON, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Kevin G. Sloniker pleaded guilty to seven counts of lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen, a felony, Idaho Code § 18-1508. The district court imposed concurrent sentences of thirty-five years determinate followed by an indeterminate life sentence. Sloniker appeals, contending that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Sloniker's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.