## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 44626**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 501
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: June 27, 2017
v.	) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
ROBERT GAYLE ANDREASON,	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Cassia County. Hon. Michael R. Crabtree, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for sexual battery of a minor child sixteen or seventeen years of age, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Maya P. Waldron, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; MELANSON, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM

Robert Gayle Andreason pled guilty to sexual battery of a minor child sixteen or seventeen years of age. I.C. § 18-1508A(1)(c). In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Andreason to a unified term of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years. Andreason appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Andreason's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.