IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 44613

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 468
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: May 23, 2017
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
ADRIENNE MOORE, fka ARNAIZ,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY)
Appeal from the District Court of the County. Hon. Jonathan P. Brody, Dis	Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Blaine strict Judge.
Order revoking probation, affirmed.	
Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appell Deputy Appellate Public Defender, B	ate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, oise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Adrienne Moore pled guilty to grand theft. Idaho Code § 18-2407(1). The district court sentenced Moore to a unified term of ten years with four years determinate, suspended the sentence, and placed Moore on probation. Subsequently, Moore was found to have violated the terms of the probation, and the district court consequently revoked the probation and ordered execution of the original sentence. Moore appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion by revoking the probation.

It is within the trial court's discretion to revoke probation if any of the terms and conditions of the probation have been violated. I.C. §§ 19-2603, 20-222; *State v. Beckett*, 122 Idaho 324, 325, 834 P.2d 326, 327 (Ct. App. 1992); *State v. Adams*, 115 Idaho 1053, 1054, 772

P.2d 260, 261 (Ct. App. 1989); *State v. Hass*, 114 Idaho 554, 558, 758 P.2d 713, 717 (Ct. App. 1988). In determining whether to revoke probation a court must examine whether the probation is achieving the goal of rehabilitation and consistent with the protection of society. *State v. Upton*, 127 Idaho 274, 275, 899 P.2d 984, 985 (Ct. App. 1995); *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; *Hass*, 114 Idaho at 558, 758 P.2d at 717. The court may, after a probation violation has been established, order that the suspended sentence be executed or, in the alternative, the court is authorized under I.C.R. 35 to reduce the sentence. *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; *State v. Marks*, 116 Idaho 976, 977, 783 P.2d 315, 316 (Ct. App. 1989). The court may also order a period of retained jurisdiction. I.C. § 19-2601. A decision to revoke probation will be disturbed on appeal only upon a showing that the trial court abused its discretion. *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327. In reviewing the propriety of a probation revocation, the focus of the inquiry is the conduct underlying the trial court's decision to revoke probation. *State v. Morgan*, 153 Idaho 618, 621, 288 P.3d 835, 838 (Ct. App. 2012). Thus, this Court will consider the elements of the record before the trial court relevant to the revocation of probation issues which are properly made part of the record on appeal. *Id*.

Applying the foregoing standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion by revoking the probation. Therefore, the order revoking probation and directing execution of Moore's previously suspended sentence is affirmed.