IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 44436

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 346
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: February 2, 2017
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
JEREMIAH WAYNE JONES,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	,

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Richard D. Greenwood, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two and one-half years, for assault, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; MELANSON, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jeremiah Wayne Jones pled guilty to unlawful possession of a firearm. I.C. § 18-3316. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed including an allegation that he was a persistent violator. The district court sentenced Jones to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. Jones appeals.¹

Jones also pled guilty to and was sentenced for a misdemeanor. However, he does not challenge that judgment of conviction and sentence on appeal.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Jones's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.