## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 44402**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 480
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: May 31, 2017
<b>v.</b>	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
GREGORY A. HUBBARD,	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Richard D. Greenwood, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of three years with a minimum period of confinement of six months for battery on a law enforcement officer, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Gregory A. Hubbard was found guilty of battery on a law enforcement officer. Idaho Code § 18-915(3), 18-903(a). The district court sentenced Hubbard to a unified sentence of three years with six months determinate.<sup>1</sup> Hubbard appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hubbard was also sentenced to a concurrent 180-day jail term for resisting or obstructing officers, but does not appeal that sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Hubbard's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.