IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43878

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 660
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: August 25, 2016
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
DEANGELO LAMAR HANKSTON,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonneville County. Hon. Jon J. Shindurling, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for possession of Oxycodone with the intent to deliver, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, Interim State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Deangelo Lamar Hankston pled guilty to possession of Oxycodone with the intent to deliver, Idaho Code § 37-2732(a)(1)(A). In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge and enhancement were dismissed. The district court imposed a unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. Hankston appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Hankston's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.