## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 43776**

) 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 626
) Filed: August 1, 2016
) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
<ul><li>) OPINION AND SHALL NOT</li><li>) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</li></ul>
)

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Cynthia K. C. Meyer, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for grand theft, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, Interim State Appellate Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Justin Ray Mitcham pled guilty to grand theft. I.C. § 18-2403. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed including an allegation that he was a persistent violator. The district court sentenced Mitcham to a unified term of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years. Mitcham appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Mitcham's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.