IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43670

| STATE OF IDAHO, |) 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 529 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) Filed: May 11, 2016 |
| v. |) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk |
| HENRY MARTYN HALL, |)) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Richard S. Christensen, District Judge.

Order denying I.C.R. 35 motion for reduction of sentence, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Henry Martyn Hall pled guilty to burglary. Idaho Code § 18-1401. The district court sentenced Hall to a unified term of five years with three years determinate. Hall filed an I.C.R 35 motion, which the district court denied. Hall appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by denying his Rule 35 motion.

A motion for reduction of sentence under I.C.R. 35 is essentially a plea for leniency, addressed to the sound discretion of the court. *State v. Knighton*, 143 Idaho 318, 319, 144 P.3d 23, 24 (2006); *State v. Allbee*, 115 Idaho 845, 846, 771 P.2d 66, 67 (Ct. App. 1989). In presenting a Rule 35 motion, the defendant must show that the sentence is excessive in light of new or additional information subsequently provided to the district court in support of the

motion. *State v. Huffman*, 144 Idaho 201, 203, 159 P.3d 838, 840 (2007). Upon review of the record, including any new information submitted with Hall's Rule 35 motion, we conclude no abuse of discretion has been shown. Therefore, the district court's order denying Hall's Rule 35 motion is affirmed.