

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43244

STATE OF IDAHO, ) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 753  
 )  
Plaintiff-Respondent, ) Filed: December 7, 2015  
 )  
v. ) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk  
 )  
PATRICIA GRACE WORKMAN, ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED  
 ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT  
Defendant-Appellant. ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY  
 )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. George D. Carey, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and sentence, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenevieve C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;  
and HUSKEY, Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM

Patricia Grace Workman pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance, felony, I.C. § 19-2513. A plea agreement was reached where, in part, Workman would plead guilty to possession of a controlled substance, felony, and in exchange, the State would dismiss other charges and recommend a five-year indeterminate sentence. At the sentence hearing, the State and Workman requested the district court impose an indeterminate five-year sentence. The district court imposed an indeterminate five-year sentence. Workman appeals contending that her sentence is excessive.

The doctrine of invited error applies to estop a party from asserting an error when his or her own conduct induces the commission of the error. *State v. Atkinson*, 124 Idaho 816, 819, 864

P.2d 654, 657 (Ct. App. 1993). One may not complain of errors one has consented to or acquiesced in. *State v. Caudill*, 109 Idaho 222, 226, 706 P.2d 456, 460 (1985); *State v. Lee*, 131 Idaho 600, 605, 961 P.2d 1203, 1208 (Ct. App. 1998). In short, invited errors are not reversible. *State v. Gittins*, 129 Idaho 54, 58, 921 P.2d 754, 758 (Ct. App. 1996). This doctrine applies to sentencing decisions as well as rulings made during trial. *State v. Griffith*, 110 Idaho 613, 614, 716 P.2d 1385, 1386 (Ct. App. 1986).

Therefore, Workman's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.