

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42150

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 787
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: October 30, 2014
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
JUSTIN A. RIBAUDO,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District, State of Idaho, Jefferson County. Hon. Alan C. Stephens, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent unified sentences of four years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, and three years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for two counts of aggravated assault, affirmed.

Bartholick Law, PLLC, Sean P. Bartholick, Rexburg, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Justin A. Ribaldo pled guilty to two counts of aggravated assault. I.C. §§ 18-901(b) and 18-905(b). The district court sentenced Ribaldo to a unified term of four years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, and a concurrent unified term of three years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years. Ribaldo appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Ribaldo's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.