

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 41079

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2013 Unpublished Opinion No. 780
	)	
<b>Plaintiff-Respondent,</b>	)	<b>Filed: December 6, 2013</b>
	)	
v.	)	<b>Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk</b>
	)	
<b>FURLEY EDWARD CHANDLER,</b>	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
<b>Defendant-Appellant.</b>	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for possession of a controlled substance, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Kimberly E. Smith, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;  
and MELANSON, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Furley Edward Chandler pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance. Idaho Code § 37-2732(c). The district court sentenced Chandler to a unified term of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, to run concurrently with Chandler’s other sentences. Chandler appeals asserting that the district court imposed an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Chandler's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.